National Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development
ISSN: 2455-9040
Impact Factor: RJIF 5.22
www.nationaljournals.com
Volume 2; Issue 3; September 2017; Page No. 647-649

Influences of some fundamental issues in the investment decision making process

Parveen Kumar
Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration, Chaudhary Devi Lal University, Sirsa, Haryana, India

Abstract
Behavioral finance is a branch of finance that tries to understand the psychological aspects of investor in the process of decision making while selling, buying or investing in the market. Investment in the market is considered a good option for gaining profit. But, some kind of market risks is also associated with market investment.
Behavioral finance studies the factors that influence the decision of an individual while investing. It covers all the decisions of investors whether good or bad. This branch of finance also investigates the inefficiency of a market. In daily life, people take several decisions leading to good or bad consequences. An individual may think to buy stock or a fund manager may think to sell a stock so as to make a balance to the portfolio. The current article describes various issues related to behavioral finance.

Keywords: finance, behavioral, stock, investor, investment decision making

1. Introduction
Before investing in the market, an individual study all the options available and compares the market value of stocks and finally makes the decision of buying interesting stock. It is noticed that the decision of buying stock after a deep decision process goes right and gains the profit.
In some cases, the investor could not get the expected profit and may suffer a loss due to flexibility in the nature of the market. Investor studies what went wrong and why the decision does not go in favor. It is also suggested by the experts that one should invest in the market for the long duration to get the effective profit as the flexible in the market is so much that short term investments may cause some loss. Also, no one deny the luck factor of the investor in order to make the profit from the stock market.
Behavioral finance also studies the cognitive factors such as emotional and mental status of the individual in the process of decision making for investing. In most of the cases, it is observed that a confident person takes better decision as compared to confused one.
The educational qualification also plays an important role in the process of decision making. It is noticed that less qualified person shows inconsistency in good decisions whereas average or higher educators take their decisions wisely and a sense of consistency is found in their decisions. These little things are very crucial for individual’s ability of decision making.
The branch of behavioral finance tends to understand the psychology of the investor and tries to understand the irrationality of the investors. Investors take the relevant information from the market experts and use their mind to take effective decisions.
Sometimes, investors are not able to realize the associated risk factors and pay the price for it. The use of business principles is also done by behavioral finance so as to understand the decision making process of investor. These days, the role of brain functioning of investor in financial decision is investigated.
It is also observed that the past experience of an individual in investing also influences the financial decisions. If a person invests in a particular stock and gets expected profit then psychologically, it increases the chances that the same investor will invest in the same stock next time and also suggest others in investing in that stock.
Behavioral finance studies the psychological, sociological and financial factors which can really influence the decision-making process of an individual.



Fig 1: Concept of Behavioral Finance

Review of Related Literature
Schindler *et al*. (2010) [1] described that decision making is a complex process involving choosing a particular alternative among a number of possible courses of action after careful evaluation of each option.
Shleifer *et al*. (2010) [2]. stated that most crucial challenges to investors is to make investment decision having a difference in their profile like socio and economic factors, demographic factors, educational levels, age, gender etc.
Lintner, G. *et al*. (2012) [3]. identified that behavioral finance

helps investors as well as market participants to know biases and other psychological constraint they show in the market and a significant issue is that market participant cannot behave rationally forever, as they deviate from rationality and expected utility assumption in making investment decisions.

Barber *et al*. (2013) [4]. represented that behavioral finance provides finance professionals a magnified lens which permits them to scrutiny, perceive and overcome several well-tried psychological ploys that exist involving behavioral biases i.e. emotional biases and cognitive biases.

Shefrin *et al*. (2010) [6] mentioned that behavioral trap exists across the board because of psychological influences of Heuristics& Biases. A financial market could be a market within whichindividuals trade financial securities, commodities, and other useful interchangeable items at low transaction costs and at prices that reflect supply and demand.

Frankfurter *et al*. (2010) [5] described that commodities include precious metals or agricultural goods, and securities include stocks and bonds. In Social Sciences, typically, the term market means the combination of potential buyers and sellers of a particular good or service and also the transactions between them.

Shefrin H *et al*. (2011) [6] specified that the advocators of behavioral finance firmly believe that psychological factors influence investor's investment decisions. They defend that in today's economic scenario and investment decisions demand a better understanding of investor psychology or rather individual investor's behavioral biases.

Objective of Study

In this study, the aim is to establish the existence of fundamental issues, driven by various psychological influences, in the investment decision making process.

Research Methodology

Descriptive research is used in this study in order to identify the issues in behavioral finance. The method used was questionnaire and interview of the experienced loan officers.

Primary Data

a. Observation Method b. Interview Method
c. Structured Questionnaire

Secondary Data

a. Books
b. Articles and Research Papers
c. Internet

Sample Size

305 Respondents

Results and Analysis

Classification of respondents based on their return expectation

The frequency wise classification of respondents on the basis of their expected return on investment is shown in the below table.

Table 1: Expected return on investment by Respondents

Expected Return on Investment	No. of Respondent	Total (%)
1% - 10%	64	21.0
10% - 15%	75	24.6
15% - 20%	100	32.8
More than 20%	66	21.6
Total	305	100

It is clear from Table 1, out of 305 respondents 32.8 percentages expect 15- 20% return per annum, 24.6 percentages of respondents expect 10-15% of return on investment, 21.6 percentages of respondents expect more than 20% return per annum and 21 percentage expect the return in the range of 1-10% per annum.

Classification of Respondents with their Primary Investment purpose

The frequency wise classification of respondents on the basis of their purpose of investing in stock market is given in the following table.

Table 2: Primary Investment Frequencies

Primary Investment	No. of Responses	Total (%)
Savings	2	0.5
Tax Benefit	30	10.0
Investment Returns	119	39.0
Contingency Requirement & Liquidity	19	6.2
Wealth Creation	107	35.2
Speculation	28	9.1
Total	305	100.0

Table 2 clearly shows that 39.0% have opted for Investment returns, 35.2% for Wealth Creation, 10% for availing Tax Benefits, 9.1% speculate in the stock market, 6.2% for their liquidity requirements & negligible of 0.5% have opted to save their income by investing in stock market.

Chi-Square Analysis: Hypothesis Testing – Gender & Type of Risk

Table 3: Chi-square test between the gender and type of risk

Gender	Type of risk			*Total*	Statistical Inference
	High	Moderate	Low		
Male	91	109	68	268	X^2= 3.699$^+$ df=2
	29.8%	35.7%	22.3%	87.9%	
Female	7	20	10	37	
	2.3%	6.6%	3.3%	12.1%	
Total	98	129	78	305	
	32.1%	42.3%	25.6%	100.0%	

+ Not Significant

It is observed from the table 3 that gender only majority of male respondents (35.7%) are taking the moderate risk, 29.8 % of them are taking high risk and only 22.3% of them are taking the low risk in investing in equity and other funds.

Chi-Square Analysis: Age and Type of Risk

Table 4: Chi-square test between the Age and type of risk

Age	Type of risk			Total	Statistical Inference
	High	Moderate	Low		
18 – 30	23	32	18	**73**	$X^2 = 8.040^+$ df=6
	7.5%	10.5%	5.9%	**23.9%**	
31 – 45	43	74	40	**157**	
	14.1%	24.3%	13.1%	**51.5%**	
46 – 60	28	21	19	**68**	
	9.2%	6.9%	6.2%	**22.3%**	
Above 60	4	2	1	**7**	
	1.3%	0.7%	0.3%	**2.3%**	
Total	**98**	**129**	**78**	**305**	
	32.1%	**42.3%**	**25.6%**	**100%**	

+ Not Significant

It is observed from Table 4 that majority of the risk taker are in age group 31-45 with 24.3% take the moderate risk, 14.1% in High risk category and 13.1% participate taking the low risk in the stock market.

Conclusion

From the findings of this study, it is very clear that psychological biases exist among the retail investors influencing their investment decision and its outcome. To a larger extent, Mental Accounting and Anchoring can facilitate investor yield a satiable outcome. It is advisable for individual investors to take professional advice from Financial Planners and implement financial planning for their financial goals.

The psychological biases are not necessarily meant to make investors irrational but to know how investor processes the information and how they act on such information. An investor to be successful should understand his own investment psychology and it starts with recognizing and avoiding psychological biases from their own experiences and by setting of realistic and achievable objectives through a diversifiable portfolio and also consider all the mechanisms of the financial market.

References

1. Schindler M. Rumors in Financial Markets: Insights into Behavioral Finance, West Sussex, And UK*:* John Wiley & Sons Ltd, 2010.
2. Shleifer A, L Summers. The Noise Trader Approach to Finance Journal of Economic Perspectives. 2010; 4:19-33.
3. Lintner G. Behavioral Finance: Why investors make bad decisions, The Planner, 2012; 13(1):7-8.
4. Barber BM, Odean Terrance. The Courage of Misguided Convictions, Financial Analysts Journal. 2013; 55(6).
5. Shefrin H. Beyond Greed and Fear: Understanding Behavioral Finance and the Psychology of Investing, New York: Oxford University Press, 2010.
6. Shefrin H. Behavioral Corporate Finance, Journal of Applied Corporate Finance. 2011; 14(3):113-126.
7. GM Frankfurter, EG McGoun. Market efficiency or behavioral finance: The nature of the debate, The Journal of Psychology and Financial Markets. 2010; 1(4):200-210.
8. Fromlet H. Behavioral Finance – Theory and Practical Application, Business Economics. 2011; 36(3):63-69.