



Economic burden of osteoporotic fracture of the elderly in Srinagar city: A survey report

Noor ul Nisa

Nursing, The Govt. College of Nursing, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

Abstract

Foundation: Osteoporotic fractures in the elderly are regular around the world, and the anticipated number osteoporotic fracture the maturing population is expanding the weight osteoporotic fracture on human services frameworks. To estimate the financial weight Osteoporotic Fracture in individuals more seasoned than 65 years in South Srinagar from a societal point of view.

Strategies: National Health Insurance claims databases were utilized to examine human services usage and medicinal costs osteoporotic fracture in the Srinagar population. We recognized medicinal cases records with an analysis osteoporotic fracture and assessed the expenses from 2015 to 2017. Results: From 2015 to 2017, there were number of patients with no less than one restorative protection guarantee identified with osteoporotic fracture. Most patients had a solitary break (80%), though 20% osteoporotic fracture all patients had at least two. For break destinations, vertebral crack represented 75.6% osteoporotic fracture all cracks, trailed by hip and wrist cracks. The societal cost osteoporotic fracture expanded every year,). The direct nonmedical cost was RS 5 lakhs out of 2015. Expenses related to dreariness and mortality osteoporotic fracture osteoporotic fracture were prohibited.

Conclusions: The financial weight related to osteoporotic fracture in elderly is relied upon to ascend with the anticipated increment in future and the number osteoporotic fracture elderly in South Srinagar. Along these lines, successful administration osteoporotic fracture the malady is important to decrease the development of the financial weight osteoporotic fracture.

Keywords: financial weight, osteoporosis, osteoporotic fracture

Introduction

The frequency osteoporotic fracture (of) is expanding worldwide in maturing populaces, and the financial weight osteoporotic fracture of on human services frameworks is consistently expanding also. As per the National Health Insurance (NHI) database, an aggregate osteoporotic fracture 24400 cases osteoporotic fracture breaks happened in Srinagar, 186,00 osteoporotic fracture which happened in females. The societal expenses related to osteoporotic fracture came to generally RS 1000 per day in South Srinagar. Besides, in cases including hip breaks, the death rate was around 16% and 28% inside 1 to 2 years, individually. Since the maturing record in Srinagar is evaluated to increment up to 213.8% by 2030, the predominance osteoporotic fracture and its related expenses are likewise anticipated that would increment uniquely. Besides, the monetary and providing care troubles for families are required to increment, in light of the fact that the elderly living with osteoporotic fracture has a higher hazard osteoporotic fracture different breaks, which can bring about fixed status or challenges in movement osteoporotic fracture day by day living (ADL) [2-4].

Along these lines, the point osteoporotic fracture this examination was to research, from a societal viewpoint and utilizing the populace based database, the monetary weight osteoporotic fracture in individuals more seasoned than 65 years in South Srinagar.

Methods: Study Design

In this review observational investigation, we utilized

information drawn from the NHI claims database and the Long-term Care Insurance (LCI) claims database to gauge the restorative cost related to osteoporotic fracture. For the nonmedical cost, we utilized the Srinagar National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey database. Endorsement from the Institutional Review Board and Ethics Committee was not required for this examination. People living in Srinagar get human services through Medical Aid Program. Therefore, it that cases database included restorative cases for the whole populace in Srinagar. This extensive, longitudinal database gave information on incorporated enlistment, treatment costs, instalments made by the MAP the number osteoporotic fracture outpatient visits, the number osteoporotic fracture days per doctor's facility stay, and medicines, which can be ordered into age, sex, restorative care organization, and malady

Quiet Identification

The investigation population included patients with osteoporotic fracture who were 65 years and more established, and who were released from doctor's facilities amid the examination years osteoporotic fracture 2016 to 2017. For the purpose osteoporotic fracture extricating the information identified with osteoporotic fracture, we initially removed the information identified with osteoporosis, and after that distinguished the break occasions among patients with osteoporosis. To stay away from exclusion inside the investigation populace, patients with osteoporosis were dictated by two strategies. We utilized information from

restorative cases with the International Classification osteoporotic fracture diseases.

Cost

The investigation utilized a cost-osteoporotic fracture-sickness structure and included direct restorative costs, coordinate nonmedical costs, and roundabout costs, for example, efficiency misfortune coming about because of grimness and mortality because of osteoporotic fracture^[5, 6]. The societal cost, including direct expenses and circuitous expenses, was assessed by utilizing a large scale costing technique. The immediate cost (caused subsequently osteoporotic fracture treating osteoporotic fracture) included direct medicinal costs, for example, those for hospitalization, outpatient mind, and long-haul mind benefit and direct nonmedical costs, for example, those for transportation and parental figures. The related expenses are the regular osteoporotic fracture-related consumptions for patients with osteoporotic fracture in this investigation. We utilized the NHI and LCI databases for evaluating the NHI instalment and the expenses for long-haul mind benefit, and also a national study answer to decide out-osteoporotic fracture-stash instalments^[7]. The nonmedical cost included transportation uses required with going by therapeutic establishments and parental figure uses amid hospitalization. The aggregate transportation cost was evaluated by duplicating the number osteoporotic fracture healing facility visits by normal per-visit transportation cost. We extricated the number osteoporotic fracture a patient's healing facility visits from the NHI database, and assessed the transportation cost by utilizing the normal transportation costs revealed in a past report and balanced by the transportation value file since patients utilized different vehicles^[9, 10]. We rejected the transportation cost osteoporotic fracture parental figures in light of the fact that no data on the number osteoporotic fracture guardian visits was accessible. Accordingly, the transportation cost might be fundamentally disparaged.

In wording osteoporotic fracture guardian costs, we included casual care gave by relatives, and additional formal care. As indicated by the National Elderly Survey in 2008, among the elderly hospitalized with ADL, 74.9% were being tended to by relatives, though 8.8% got casual care administrations^[11]. We assessed the aggregate providing care cost and connected its rate to this review. In the first place, we ascertained the cost osteoporotic fracture formal providing care, together with its normal rate (8.8%), and the normal parental figure cost. We cited the normal parental figure cost by the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey and balanced by benefit value file^[9, 10]. Second, for the cost osteoporotic fracture casual providing care, we ascertained the misfortune osteoporotic fracture the parental figure's profitability by duplicating the number osteoporotic fracture days the patient spent in the healing facility by the work showcase cooperation rate, the business rate, and the normal day by day income for each age and sex^[10]. We connected the attributes osteoporotic fracture the parental figures (sex and age), as detailed in the National Elderly Survey in 2011^[11]. In the wake of evaluating the profitability misfortune osteoporotic fracture all guardians, we at long last ascertained the casual providing care cost, applying the rate osteoporotic fracture casual care to our review.

Results

The number osteoporotic fracture patients with osteoporotic fracture expanded amid the period 2007 to 2010, and afterwards diminished in 2015. Among the elderly patients with osteoporotic fracture, roughly 88% were ladies. An aggregate osteoporotic fracture 80% osteoporotic fracture these patients had a solitary break, though around 20% had at least two cracks. osteoporotic fracture the break locales, vertebral crack represented 76.3%, trailed by hip cracks (9.9%) and wrist breaks (7.5%), as displayed in Table 1. The aggregate healing center visits and the aggregate inpatient days expanded consistently until 2008, and afterwards started to demonstrate a declining pattern. The number osteoporotic fracture inpatient days per visit step by step diminished from 18.7 days in 2007 to 17.0 days in 2011, as demonstrated in Table 2.

Conclusions

The outcomes osteoporotic fracture this investigation show that the monetary weight related with osteoporotic fracture in the elderly is relied upon to ascend with the anticipated increment in future and the number osteoporotic fracture elderly people in Kashmir. The societal cost osteoporotic fracture does not represent an extensive extent osteoporotic fracture the aggregate societal cost osteoporotic fracture all maladies in the elderly, yet there is a huge financial weight on people, considering the salary level and the spending capacity osteoporotic fracture elderly patients. Also, osteoporotic fracture can prompt an ADL weakness, and in light of the fact that numerous elderly people don't have any salary, getting watch over those people can turn into an incredible weight for their family. Along these lines, the outcomes osteoporotic fracture this investigation recommend that further research ought to be embraced to find approaches to decrease the economic burden on elderly patients as well as their families.

References

1. Lindsay R, Silverman SL, Cooper C. *et al.* 1-risk osteoporotic fracture new vertebral fracture in the year following a fracture *Jama*. 2001; 285:320-323.
2. Byford S, Toreros DJ. J. Raftery Economic note: cost osteoporotic fracture illness studies *BMJ*. 2000; 320:1335.
3. Koopmanschap MA. Cost-osteoporotic fracture-illness studies Useful for health policy? *Pharmacoeconomics*. 1998; 14:143-14
4. Kim JH, Jung JC, Kim SO. A Study on Individual Co-payment for Health Insurance in Korea Korean National Health Insurance Corporation, Seoul. 2004.
5. Long-Term Care Service Database. Available from: <http://www.nhis.or.kr/>. [Accessed November 25, 2013]
6. Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Available from: <https://knhanes.cdc.go.kr/knhanes/index.do>. [Accessed November 25, 2013]
7. Korean Ministry osteoporotic fracture Employment and Labor Database. Available from: http://www.moel.go.kr/english/statistics/MOL_Survey_Data.jsp. [Accessed November 25, 2013]

8. Jung KH, Oh YH, Lee YK, *et al.* Survey osteoporotic fracture Living Conditions and Welfare Needs osteoporotic fracture Korean Older Persons in. 2011.
9. Korea Institute for Health and Social Affairs, Seoul. 2012.
10. Jang SM, Park CM, Jang SH *et al.* Study on the medical utilization and prescription pattern for osteoporosis Health Insurance Review and Assessment Service, Seoul. 2009.
11. Choi YJ, Oh HJ, Kim DJ *et al.* The prevalence osteoporotic fracture osteoporosis in Korean adults aged 50 years or older and the higher diagnosis rates in women who were beneficiaries osteoporotic fracture a national screening program: the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2008–2009 J Bone Miner Res. 2012; 27:1879-1886.
12. Pages-Castella C, Carbonell-Abella FF, Aviles *et al.* Burden osteoporotic fracture osteoporotic fractures in primary health care in Catalonia (Spain): a population-based study BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2012; 13:79.
13. Prieto-Alhambra D, Aviles FF, Judge A, *et al.* Burden osteoporotic fracture pelvis fracture: a population-based study osteoporotic fracture incidence, hospitalization and mortality Osteoporosis Int. 2012; 23:2797-2803.
14. Cawston H, Maravic M, Fardellone P. *et al.* Epidemiological burden osteoporotic fracture postmenopausal osteoporosis in France from 2010 to 2020: estimations from a disease model Arch Osteoporosis. 2012; 7:237-246.
15. Gauthier JA, Kanis Y, Jiang *et al.* Burden osteoporotic fracture postmenopausal osteoporosis in Germany: estimations from a disease model Arch Osteoporos. 2012; 7:209-218.
16. Gauthier JA, Kanis Jiang Y. *et al.* Epidemiological burden osteoporotic fracture postmenopausal osteoporosis in the UK from 2010 to 2021: estimations from a disease model Arch Osteoporosis. 2011; 6:179-188.
17. Gutierrez L, Roskell N, Castells Ague J. *et al.* Study osteoporotic fracture the incremental cost and clinical burden osteoporotic fracture hip fractures in postmenopausal women in the United Kingdom J Med Econ. 2011; 14:99-107
18. Kang HY, Kang DR, Jang YH *et al.* Estimating the economic burden osteoporotic fracture osteoporotic vertebral fracture among elderly Korean women J Prev Med Public Health. 2008; 41:287-294.
19. Roche JJ, Wenn RT, Sahota O *et al.* Effect osteoporotic fracture comorbidities and postoperative complications on mortality after hip fracture in elderly people: prospective observational cohort study BMJ. 2005; 331:1374.
20. Wieland GD. From bedside to bench: research in comorbidity and aging Sci Aging Knowledge Environ. 2005; 39:29.
21. Cheng ZA, Lin DK, Liu DB. *et al.* A 10-year-review (1998–2007) on 3449 cases osteoporotic fracture osteoporotic hip fractures: trend osteoporotic fracture hospitalization and inpatient costs Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi. 2008; 29:1128-1131.
22. Wong MK, Arjandas M, Ching LK *et al.* Osteoporotic hip fractures in Singapore—costs and patient’s outcome Ann Acad Med Singapore. 2002; 31:3-7.
23. Borgstrom F, Sobocki P, Strom O *et al.* The societal burden osteoporotic fracture osteoporosis in Sweden Bone. 2007; 40:1602-1609. ArticlePDF (230KB)
24. Clark P, Carlos F, Barrera C *et al.* Direct costs osteoporotic fracture osteoporosis and hip fracture: an analysis for the Mexican healthcare system Osteoporos Int. 2008; 19:269-276.