



Methodologies for the welfare of Dalits

Huma Hassan

Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of Sociology, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi, India

Abstract

This paper will reflect M. K. Gandhi (1869-1958) and Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's (1891-1956) commitments to the end of untouchability and how they battled against untouchability in India and their impact on current Dalits developments. I will moreover talk about their inconsistencies and ideological contrasts with respect to the evacuation of the cast framework and untouchability.

Keywords: dalits developments, inconsistencies and ideological contrasts

Introduction

India Approaches to the welfare of Dalits depend on two belief systems: that of Dr. B. R. Ambedkar and M. K. Gandhi, two methodologies. The principal independent hostile to position against Hindu position solidified in the 1930's, encapsulated by the discussions between the two recorded figures B.R. Ambedkar and M.K. Gandhi. These debates are of noteworthiness for a comprehension of the present Dalit development, in light of the fact that Dalit activists in their talks now continually allude back to this recorded period. Most Dalits' NGOs and individuals from common society who are working for Dalit Human rights and end of the position framework in India take after methodologies in light of the belief systems of Gandhi and Ambedkar. And furthermore the present Indian government approach towards welfare of SC and ST is impression of this Gandhi/Ambedkar ideological straggle.

Before I begin to expound on these incredible pioneers and their commitment to the Indian culture and Dalits, first I need to acknowledge the reality I am not sufficiently proficient to compose and remark about them. I will simply attempt to see them and their work as per my little information and comprehension of their ideological methodologies and their commitment to the Indian culture.

Developmental years and different methodologies

Gandhi originated from the Kathiawad landmass which is lackey a piece of Gujarat. He had a place with the Vaishya rank known as the modh Bania. The Bania positions were well off, persuasive and considered custom important. Gandhis father, granddad and incredible granddad had filled in as head administrators in the august conditions of the landmass. Gandhi ability to bargain was produced amid his years in South Africa. In tolerating bargain one helped ones rival not to lose confront. it was a decent method for settling issues; for the respect of all the battling people or gatherings was saved. Along these lines, for instance, when Ambedkar acknowledged to surrender his interest for a isolate electorate, Gandhi reacted by yielding the claim for held seats. Gandhi

detested conflictual battle. The style of settling contrasts where the two battling parties needed to battle each other with the goal that one of them may win was detestable to him. It has been contended by Lloyd and Susan Rudolph that Gandhis inclination for accord and aversion for strife has establishes in town society. There was a consistent scan for agreement in town undertakings and restriction to partisanship. De-underscoring open conflicts, triumphs and annihilations, gave off an impression of being a generally predominant method for settling question. We are of the feeling, notwithstanding, that the prevailing stations potential for compulsion added to the accomplishment of the accord approach.

One of the references in Gandhi's life account manages his solidness on the inquiry of conceding and untouchable family to his ashram close Ahmebadad in 1915. In 1920, Gandhi stated: "Swaraj is unattainable without the evacuation of the transgression of untouchability as it is without Hindu-Muslim solidarity. In 1921 he stated, "I would prefer not to be renewed. In any case, in the event that I must be reawakened, I ought to be conceived an Untouchable".

In 1937 Gandhi stated, "One conceived a forager must procure his vocation by being a forager, and afterward do whatever else he enjoys. For a scrounger is as deserving of his contract as an attorney or your President. That as per me is Hinduism. "What is being suggested is that all varnas have measure up to worth. Seen from another perspective, this would propose a disavowal of equivalent open door: for few individuals will concede that a forager is the equivalent of an attorney or a President in common status, Gandhi had faith in Varnashramadharma, the religious division of society into four gatherings: Brahman, Kshatriya, Vaishya and Shudra. This four-overlay requesting of society and the related conventional obligations were imperative for the safeguarding of agreement and the development of the spirit. "The law of Varna endorses that a man should, for his living, take after the legal control of his progenitors," Expressed Gandhi.

We should now take a gander at Ambedkar's initial years and the slow solidifying of his position towards Hinduism and standing Hindu society. In any case, their was an awesome

contrast in the particular family circumstances of Ambedkar and Gandhi. While the last mentioned's ancestors had filled in as head administrators, the former's granddad and father had served in the lower, rungs of the British armed force. Ambedkar's father, Ramji Sakpal was a strongly religious man. He routinely recounted the Ramayana and the Mahabharata to his youngsters. Roused by the Bhakti current of Kabir and Chokhamela, the family sang the gestures of recognition of Krishna and Rama. Estimations of balance before God seem to have played a few significance in this framework. His adherents trusted that station and rank during childbirth made a difference little to God. In the armed force, Ramji (and his dad before him) had been presented to liberal English esteems. Ramji had gotten enough of the English dialect to confer it to his child, Ambedkar. The way that the Mahar had been given their own regiment, the 111th Mahar, added to the reinforcing of level ties among those of term who were in the armed force. Here they were not obliged to watch conventional practices which symbolized their Untouchability. Life in the cantonments and in Bombay (where his dad moved to amid his last years of High school) allowed the space for youthful Ambedkar to create thoughts and states of mind which as town Untouchable youth could barely have planned to land at. In this way, Ambedkar's adolescence and early youth and the accompanying impacts: a Bhakti current which talked about the people equity before God, the charm and motivation of the Ramayana and Mahabharata, and the liberal English esteems which separated down to the Mahar regiment. This was additionally a period when the beginnings of even station solidarities and the debilitating of conduct related with conventional intercaste relations started to show up. On the off chance that Ambedkar had experienced childhood in the ideological setting of the Untouchable Mahar quarters of a Hindu town. It is far-fetched that he could have formed into the sure and persisting youth that he progressed toward becoming. From the last piece of his adolescence onwards there were a few obnoxious episodes which mercilessly conveyed home to him that he was not the social equivalent of station Hindus.

Ambedkar's prior state of mind to Hinduism was conflicted. From one perspective, he was gradually coming to understand that inside Hinduism there could be no freedom from untouchability; on alternate, his own particular childhood had been inside an environment where the Hindu sagas were recounted with awesome commitment. In the mid 1920's he had some confidence in the Untouchables changing their status through copying higher position rehearses. He bit by bit arrived at the conclusion that this procedure, which once in a while included wearing the holy string and praising relational unions with Vedic customs, had little impact in changing the states of mind of rank Hindus. By 1927, his brain was at that point made up when the Manusmriti was singed in his quality at an extensive open gathering. In 1935, he declared his choice to leave Hinduism. Where Gandhi's way was one of rediscovering Hinduism,

Ambedkar was one of sharpness and inevitable dismissal of the religion of his ancestors. On October fourteenth, 1956, Ambedkar disavowed Hinduism and grasped Buddhism along with a few hundred thousand of his adherents. His decision of this specific religion. Also, no other, was based onto need to

bicultural established in India. Moreover, he felt that Buddhism embraced libertarian esteems without turning to the savage techniques for socialism.

Commitment of M. K. Gandhi in Dalit Movement

In 1919, when Gandhi showed up as a sparkling star on the Indian political skyline, His attractive identity gave another life to the Indian opportunity development and the old congress. Under Gandhi Indian Congress got cosmetic touch up and was changed over into a mass association, embracing the approaches of non-collaboration and common rebellion.

To start with, Gandhi was firm in his conviction that if the untouchables were allowed to enter the sanctuaries, the blotch of untouchability would vanish. It was this conviction which provoked Gandhi to consolidate sanctuary section as a piece of his hostile to untouchability crusade which was strengthened amid post-Poona Pact period.

Along these lines Gandhi's hostile to untouchability battle began when he was discharged from Yervada jail, Gandhi made intense interests to the standard and Santana position Hindus to open up their souls and regard the untouchables as their siblings and sisters. He likewise attempted the visit which is normally alluded to as the Harijan visit amid the time of November 1933 to August 1934. On this visit Gandhi tended to 161 places and secured a separation of 12650 miles.

Gandhi was a committed Hindu, and firmly trusted that untouchability was a debasement of Hinduism. His point was social change, changing the Untouchables into a Varna and evacuating their previous shame, in this manner redressing the first otherworldly debasement of Hinduism. He trusted this would change the mentality of standing Hindu, empowering the acknowledgment of Untouchables as offspring of God, or "harijan", a term begat by Gandhi in 1933, and utilized considerably more by different stations than Untouchables themselves.

After a long crusade for Untouchables, Gandhi likewise understood the terrible reality of the rank framework and there was an impressive reconceptualization of the issue. In 1935 he turned into a faultfinder of the station framework yet kept on being a votary of Chaturvarna in Varna dharma. That is the time when his everything the remarks still condemn by numerous Dalits extremist to rule and disregard what Gandhi improved the situation untouchables however we overlook that a similar Gandhi who in 1930 completely contradicted to between feasting and between marriage as he felt that such things ought to be left to the liberated decision of the people. In 1935, he was against making simulated little gatherings which would neither between eat nor between wed. In any case, by 1946 there was an entire volte-look in his approach. It was in this year Gandhi made a startling declaration such that in Sevagram, his Ashram at Wardha, no marriage would be praised unless one of the gatherings was untouchable by birth. What's more, he stated:

"Untouchability is the wrongdoing of the Hindus. They should languish over it, they should refine themselves, and they should pay the obligation they owe to their stifled siblings and sisters. Theirs is the disgrace and theirs must be wonderfulness when they cleansed themselves of the dark sin. The quiet adoring enduring

of one single unadulterated Hindu in that capacity will be sufficient to liquefy the hearts of a huge number of Hindus; however the affliction of thousands of non-Hindus in the interest of the untouchables will leave the Hindus unaffected. Their visually impaired eyes won't be opened by outside impedance, however well meaning and liberal it might be; it won't convey home to them the sense blame. In actuality, they would likely hung the transgression all the more for such obstruction. All changes to be true and enduring must be from inside".

Gandhi for the sake of renewed him likewise stated:

"I would prefer not to renewed, however in the event that I need to reawakened, I ought to be conceived as untouchable, with the goal that I share their distress and enduring, and the attacks leveled at them all together that I may try to free myself and them from the hopeless conditions. I, in this way, ask on the off chance that I ought to be conceived once more, I ought to do as such not as a Brahmin, Kshatriya, Vaishya or Shudra, but rather as an Atishudra (untouchable)".

Commitment of Dr. B. R. Ambedkar in Dalit Movement

Ambedkar was conceived in Mahow Indore on fourteenth April 1891, an untouchable Mahar, and a position gather that customarily acted as town workers in Maharashtra. With the assistance of the Maharaja of Baroda who was awed with his scholarly limits, and because of the way that his dad had worked in the British armed force and had some monetary means, Ambedkar accessed an instruction customarily difficult to reach to somebody of his social position. All things considered, his training and later his expert life were emphatically set apart by the shame of untouchability. In grade school he needed to sit outside the classroom and was not permitted to drink from the normal water tanks and later, at auxiliary school, there was protest to his examining Sanskrit, the dialect of the sacred writings, entirely taboo for an Untouchable. He experienced issues discovering settlement both at college inns, and later when he was positioned in various parts of the nation as an administration official. Notwithstanding when he was designated Minister for Finance in Baroda (a political post at no other time possessed by an Untouchable), he was victimized by his companions, who declined to touch any archive he may as of now have taken care of.

In view of his own encounters, Ambedkar embraced a social and political point of view in opposition to Gandhi's; to him, the issue of untouchability was characteristic for the entire development of Hinduism, and he accepted there would be no liberation of Untouchables without the decimation of the standing framework.

Ambedkar was prevalently known in the wake of finishing his training he began to work for his kin. To start with, in 1919, he gave confirm before the South Borough Committee to constitute isolate electorates for untouchables. He began a week after week paper 'Mooknayak' (Leader of Dumb) on 31st January 1920, to activate untouchables for their battle.

Ambedkar profoundly needed another social request in light of the grandiose principals of "freedom, equity, crew and

equity". These principals are the center of his logic. In 1924, he built up the 'Bahishkrit Hitkarini Sabha', the untouchable's welfare discussion. The point of which was to set up the untouchables for future battle. Through this Sabha, Ambedkar gave a clarion call to his people groups to "Instruct, sort out, and unsettle". He viewed law as a key means for social change or social building, the point of which should, obviously, is social equity. The idea of social equity is at the focal point of Ambedkar's socio-lawful reasoning.

In spite of the fact that examinations of the issues of untouchables as set forth by Ambedkar may just be a marker of the overall framework and state of his circumstances, His thoughts kept on directing the progressive government in planning the welfare strategies for Dalits and others discouraged classes.

Contrasts of ideologies of Gandhi and Ambedkar

The contrast amongst Gandhi's and Ambedkar's ways to deal with the welfare of untouchables was impalpable in the gorging, yet it was solidified in 1932, and the subsequent settlement amongst Gandhi Ambedkar still assumes a center part for the welfare of Dalits in India. In 1931, at the second Round Table Conference held in London, held by the British Government in regards to the proposed changes of the new constitution of India, they were both present and both asserted to speak to the Untouchable stations. At this meeting, the supposed "Collective Award" was reported presenting separate electorates for the untouchable positions. Ambedkar was firmly in support; Gandhi, then again was emphatically contradicted contending that different electorates in any shape for the untouchable ranks inferred the danger of part Hindu society and devastating Hinduism.

Gandhi's reaction was to go into maybe the most well known of his 'fasts unto passing' on 20 September 1932. Looked with the affliction of the Great Mahatma, Ambedkar had no real option except to down. He concurred in the Poona Pact to abandon isolate electorates for Untouchable voters as an end-result of a considerable increment in the quantity of general seats to be held for applicants from the untouchable ranks. Be that as it may, it was an intense trade off and Ambedkar later remarked on Gandhi's quick in his book 'What Congress and Gandhi Have done to the Untouchables (1945), saying there was nothing honorable in the quick, rather it was a foul and dirty act constituting the greatest double-crossing of Untouchables ever. Ambedkar's worry was that in the general voting public Untouchables would dependably be a minority. Without isolated electorates there was a mind-boggling hazard that the station Hindu larger part would come to pick the delegates among the untouchable standings who supposedly was most faithful to their own particular advantages and not to the interests of the Untouchables. Shockingly, the current political atmosphere just serves to affirm Ambedkar's worries. Ambedkar's thought processes in the battle for discrete electorates for Dalits have been every now and again addressed and unreasonably connected with an against patriot motivation. His position was intentionally confounded, and he needed to hold up under the weight of being marked a trickster essentially due to his request that the privileges of the Dalits ought to be made a pre-condition for freedom from the British. The visual pictures delivered of Ambedkar and Gandhi,

stacked with social references, additionally serves to separate them. Ambedkar is constantly depicted in western clothing, suit and tie, with a book under his correct arm while Gandhi is depicted in a straightforward loincloth sitting by the turning wheel used to deliver khadi, the image of assembled Indian individuals against provincial force.

Where Gandhi wound up noticeably known as 'Mahatma' Gandhi, the Great Soul, or the Father of Independence, Ambedkar ended up plainly known as 'Babasaheb' Ambedkar, the Great Man, and as Father of the Indian Constitution, since he was the principal Law Minister in free India and additionally director of the board of trustees that drafted the Indian Constitution. In any case, contrasted with Gandhi, Ambedkar has been obscure outside India until as of late. It is just with the escalated exercises of the Dalit development in the 1990's that his name has turned out to be to some degree better known. Among Dalit activists there is today a great deal of contempt towards Gandhi and disdain that their legend, Ambedkar, is so minimal perceived inside and in addition outside India. It is felt that Ambedkar would have been perceived as an extraordinary social reformer keeping pace with Gandhi, or maybe rather Karl Marx, if just high rank Indians had not 'kept him a mystery'.

Conclusion

It is conceivable to contend that Ambedkar and Gandhi assumed corresponding parts in the battle against Untouchability. While this may somewhat be valid, it must not influence us to dismiss the genuine ramifications of their on a very basic level diverse ways to deal with the issues. We might quickly outline these diverse ways to deal with the issue. We should quickly compress these distinctions beneath. In any case, Gandhi might be viewed as originating from the prevailing areas of Hindu society, while Ambedkar basically spoke to the mahars (in spite of the fact that he endeavored, with constrained achievement, to mobilise Untouchables all finished India) The previous trusted that a change of heart with respect to the station Hindus could renew Hinduism and allow the advancement of a varna framework where all segments would be equivalent. For Ambedkar, be that as it may, Untouchability and Hinduism were inseparably interlaced.

Through calling Untouchables Harijan (offspring of God) Gandhi endeavored to give them a new sense of pride. His endeavors to change the warms of the rank Hindus resulted in making adjust of worry among in any event some of them, especially the informed segments. Amid the essential years of Ambedkar's battle to elevate the untouchables, this atmosphere most likely kept a hardening of rank Hindu mentalities against him.

For Ambedkar, uniformity did not a stop with all varnas being equivalent. Truth be told he cruelly scrutinized the standing framework and needed Untouchables to have no part in it. When he upheld balance, he alluded to correspondence in the financial. Political and social circles. While Ambedkar's dreams are still far from being understood, his commitment was practical what's more, enduring. He was to a great extent in charge of making saved positions for untouchables in the common administration, governing bodies and advanced education. Yet, more importantly, his major commitment was

to have emphasised the significance of activity from beneath: that political association was key to securing justic and fundamental human rights. The contrasts amongst Gandhi Ambedkar still keep on haunting the different Dalit developments and reformist Hindu associations. For the reformist Hindu associations the enormous situation is to keep the Harijans inside their overlap without diluting Hindu qualities and convictions. This isn't a simple assignment; for Harijans see sneaking paternalism and upper-rank inclinations even with in those association which have formally denounced Untouchability. We have the Jatav Untouchables of Agra who quit the Arya Samaj in light of the previously mentioned reasons. Ambedkar was certain that, as Hindus, the Untouchables couldn't would like to be dealt with as measures up to with the rest. He accordingly pushed another character through change to Buddhism. This helped impressively in giving the Dalits (for the most part in Maharashtra) a new feeling of reason and militancy, albeit a few people have expressed that Buddhism as a religion would wind up hosing the militancy of the Dalits. In any case, numerous station Hindus endured in observing the neo-Buddhists as Untouchables.

The Dalit developments which have not grasped Buddhism are occupied with securing social, financial and political additions for teir individuals. A portion of the non-Buddhist Dalit pioneers have been affected by Marxixm and Secularism. They don't see the religious question as being of significance. They feel that it is an adequate to censure and denounce Hinduism. They don't perceive any need to change over to Buddhism, In our supposition, this position is loaded with uncertainty. Can the Dalit masses in the country territories content themselves with dismissing Hinduism without finding an elective religious personality? We try not to think so. It is our feeling that a large number of the non-Buddhist Dalit masses will remain

Hindu in some sense or the other while battling for financial, political, social and instructive rights. With respect to the non-religious Davit erudite people, it is fascinating to test to what degree they remain socially Hindu regardless of whether they have rejected Hinduism. What's more, what might it intend to be a Hindu just in the social feeling of term? Customarily it was unrealistic to isolate culture and religion. Today, it appears to be conceivable. Be that as it may, what this constitutes in principle and practice should be additionally investigated.

Both of them wanted an Indian society in light of social equity and club, yet contrasted on the way to accomplish it.

As indicated by Gandhi ji, if the station based separation is cleansed from the standing framework, the last can work impeccably fine. I think this enunciation lies in his backing of independence of Indian towns. As per him, town should go about as independent units of the economy, which, he thought, was conceivable by the boundary of works under the station framework. The purpose behind his independence of towns suggestion was that the tremendous populace of India couldn't be sustained through simply the enterprises or farming alone. In the meantime, to convey a feeling of pride to the as far as anyone knows least rung of works, he, for instance, used to advocate cleaning of one's own toilet in homes and used to hone this himself. Amid an episode of torment in the city, he

even used to clean the toilets in the homes of the supposed untouchables in the influenced territories.

Ambedkar, then again, was worried about the total demolition of the standing framework. As indicated by him, untouchability would exist as long as the station framework in essence. He urged his adherents to leave their homes in towns and go to urban areas. He may consider it to be an approach to show signs of improvement monetary open doors and instruction which, to a degree, may help in elevating the lower ranks.

Gandhi ji, being a passionate supporter of satyagraha, required the same to argue to the still, small voice and reason of the upper rank Hindus. He imagined that the duty of evacuating untouchability should lie on the upper position Hindus. In the compatibility of this approach, he sorted out various battles and fasts some of which prompted numerous sanctuaries being tossed open to the probably bring down stations. This likewise won him ill will from different areas of the customary Hindus. Ambedkar, asserted that satyagraha was a futile instrument in this regard. His contention was that pricking the still, small voice of the standing Hindus was inconceivable since they were under the ideological spell of religious authorizations to station in addition to likewise getting material advantages from the position arrange. So he looked for arrangements through the guide of the state. This fairly made him a political rival of the congress and additionally Gandhi ji. This was seen in 1932 when the Viceroy announced the common honour which accommodated isolate electorates for the lower stations notwithstanding the Muslims and Christians, Gandhi ji attempted a quick to cancel the different electorate for that would have implied the passive consent of lower ranks as an unexpected group in comparison to the position Hindus and would have rendered their social re-reconciliation outlandish. Ambedkar, around then was a defender of particular electorate which recommends that he saw the upper and lower rank Hindus as unmistakable groups with dissimilar arrangement of interests.

Gandhi ji likewise took a gander at the issue from the more extensive point of view of national solidarity against an outside government and trusted that the congress spoke to the entire populace including the lower stations while Ambedkar pushed for sorting out the lower ranks in particular alliances as various gathering in order to all the more adequately explain their interests in the constituent legislative issues.

In general, Gandhi ji trusted that abrogation of untouchability was basic to spare Hinduism while Ambedkar saw an answer outside the limits of the predominant Hindu religion in the nation, which is most likely why he changed over to Buddhism.

References

1. Do we really respect Dr Ambedkar or is it mere lip service? Archived from the original on 2015.
2. Ambedkar in Modi's quiver, says Gandhis insulted father of Indian Constitution". Archived from the original on 2015.
3. Home for Ambedkar 'house'. Archived from the original on 2015.
4. The Constitution of India: Role of Dr. B.R. Ambedkar. Archived from the original on 2015.
5. Archives released by LSE reveal BR Ambedkar's time as a scholar. Archived from the original on 2016.
6. Buswell Robert Jr, Lopez Donald S Jr, eds. Princeton Dictionary of Buddhism. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. 2013, 34. ISBN 9780691157863.
7. Jaffrelot Christophe. Ambedkar and Untouchability: Fighting the Indian Caste System. New York: Columbia University Press. 2005, 2. ISBN 0-231-13602-1.
8. Pritchett Frances. In the 1890s PHP. Archived from the original on 2006. Retrieved 2006.
9. Mahar. Encyclopædia Britannica. britannica.com. Archived from the original on 2011. Retrieved 2012.
10. Ahuja ML. Babasaheb Ambedkar. Eminent Indians: administrators and political thinkers. New Delhi: Rupa. 2007, 1922-1923. ISBN 8129111071. Archived from the original on 2016. Retrieved 2013.
11. Ambedkar BR. Waiting for a Visa. Frances Pritchett, translator. Columbia.edu. Archived from the original on 2010. Retrieved 2010.
12. Gandhi MK, quoted in Polak HSL. Brails ford, H. N.,Penhic Lawrence, Lord, Mahatma Gandhi - The Father of Modern India, Publications, Delhi, 1986, 149.
13. Gandhi MK, Quoted in Pyarelal. Gandhi's Correspondence with the Government-1942-44, II Ed., Navajivan Publishing House, Ahmedabad. 1945-85.
14. ShreW.i lliam. L.Gandhi- A Memof.T ouchstone Books. NewYork. 1979, 185.
15. Arnbedkar BR. Dr.Quoted in Keer. Dhananjay, Dr. Ambedkar, Llife and Mission. Popular Prakashan. Bombay, 1954, 100.
16. Iyer. Krishna, Justice, in Ambedkar Centenary Volume: Social Justive the Undone Vast. B. R. Publishing Corporation, Delhi. 1991, 14.
17. Ambedkar BR, Dr. Consttuent Assembly Debates, Official Report. New Delhi, 1989; 7:420.
18. Ambedkar BR, Dr. Quoted in Keer. Dhananjay, opp. Eft, 91
19. Keer, Dhananjay, opp. Cit., 82
20. Ambedkar BR, Dr. What Congress and Gandhi Have Done to the Untouchables, Thacker and Company. Bombay, 1945, 296.
21. Arnbedkar BR. Dr. ibid., 295.
22. Gandh MK Harijan, 1936.
23. Ambedkar BR, Dr. Quoted in Gore. MS. The Social Context of an Ideology -Ambedkar's political and social thought, Sage Publications, New Delhi.1993, 182.
24. Ambedkar BR, Quoted BR in Moon. Vasant (Ed), Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Wrtn gasnd speeches, Department of Education. Government of Maharashtra. Bombay, 1990; 7:94.
25. Ibid., 95.
26. Keer Dhananjay, Ambedkar Life and Mssion, Popular Prakashan, Bombay, 1954, 473.